Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/599,954	SEETZEN, HELGE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VINH T. LAM	2629	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>RC</u>	Ξ	
(1) <u>VINH T. LAM</u> .	(3) <u>Amy Fong</u> .		
(2)	(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 May 2010	Time: <u>12:30 PM EST</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 103(a)			
Claims discussed: 1-33			
Prior art documents discussed: Baik (US Pat.7450104)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: (1) Allowable Claims: 1,2,4-6,13-19,26-28, & 30-33. (2) Cancelled Claims: 3,7-12,20-25, & 29			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Vinh T Lam/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	